

## REMARKS

The Official Action of March 19, 2008 has been carefully considered. The amendments and remarks herein are considered to be responsive thereto. Claims 6-10 have been canceled and claims 1-5 remain in the case.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C 112, second paragraph, for failing to particularly define the invention. Specifically, the Examiner states that it is not clear what the intended use of the compound actually is. Claim 5 has been herein amended to recite a method of treatment claim as suggested by the examiner. Claim 4 has also been amended to more precisely define the invention.

In light of the amendments and remarks herein Applicants believe the claims are in condition for allowance. The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned at the number below if this would expedite the allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 

Sylvia A. Ayler

Reg. No. 36436

Attorney for Applicant(s)

MERCK & CO., INC.

P.O. Box 2000

Rahway, New Jersey 07065-0907

(732) 594-4909

Date: April 2, 2008